

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed January 23, 2007 has been carefully considered and this response prepared.


Applicant's representative, Liza D. Hohenschutz, would like to thank Examiner Hui for the courteous and helpful telephone interview on July 18, 2007 regarding the present Office Action. Applicant's representative advised the Examiner that the Office Action is directed to claims 1-11, which were canceled by Preliminary Amendment dated October 2, 2003, not the pending claims, claims 34-44. The Preliminary Amendment dated October 2, 2003, canceled claims 1-33 and added new claims 34-44. Examiner Hui advised Applicant to respond to the present Office Action by pointing out that the incorrect claims were examined in the Office Action, and requesting an Office Action on claims 34-44. Applicants therefore respectfully request an Office Action directed to pending claims 34-44.

In order to advance prosecution, Applicant has amended claims 34-38 to place them in better form for examination. Claim 34 has been amended to recite the positive step of mixing the compound of Formula I with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient. Support for this amendment can be found throughout the specification and in particular at page 16, lines 10-17 and page 18, lines 3-5. Claims 35-38 depend from claim 34, and are also amended by the amendment to claim 34. No new matter has been added.

An early and favorable Office Action directed to claims 34-44 is respectfully requested.

Dated: July 23, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Liza D. Hohenschutz

Registration No.: 33,712

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant

553913